IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

- - -

MASHA ALLEN, : CIVIL ACTION NO. 08-4614

Plaintiff

:

v. : Camden, New Jersey

March 3, 2009

FAMILIES THRU INTERNATIONAL :

ADOPTION, et al,

: 10:34 o'clock a.m. :

Defendant :

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANN MARIE DONIO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- - -

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: ROBERT N. HUNN, ESQUIRE

Kolsby, Gordon, Robin &

Shore, Esquires One Liberty Place 2000 Market Street

28th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103

For the Defendant

FTIA:

DONALD C. COFSKY, ESQUIRE Cofsky & Zeidman, LLC 209 N. Haddon Avenue

Haddonfield, NJ 08033-2322

For the Defendant

Smith:

JEANNENE SMITH, Pro Se 312 S. Lincoln Avenue Cherry Hill, NJ 08002

Audio Operator: Susan Bush

Transcribed by: Paula L. Curran, CET

(Proceedings recorded by Liberty Court Player digital sound recording; transcript provided by AAERT-certified transcriber.)

Laws Transcription Service 48 W. LaCrosse Avenue Lansdowne, PA 19050 (610)623-4178 1 (The following occurred in open court at 10:34 o'clock a.m.)

THE COURT: All right, we're on the record. This is a phone conference and the parties are appearing by telephone. This is the Judge in Case Number 08-4614 and I would ask that you state your name each time you speak, because this conference is being electronically recorded. This is Judge Donio. Let's begin with the plaintiff's counsel?

MR. HUNN: Yes, good morning, Judge, this is Robert Hunn for the plaintiff.

THE COURT: All right. And for the defendants please?

MR. COFSKY: This is Donald Cofsky, C-O-F-S-K-Y, representing Families Thru International Adoption.

MS. SMITH: This is Jeannene Smith, representing myself.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hunn, is that all the defendants?

MR. HUNN: Your Honor, there were two other defendants named. We have pending a default judgment, it's Reaching Out Thru International Adoption and it's successor company called Child Promises. Now, there's also a default judgement motion pending against Ms. Smith, but that's a whole other issue.

THE COURT: All right, well, let's talk about that.

Ms. Smith, as of --

MR. COFSKY: Your Honor, there's a third party defendant that we had named, Adagio, A-D-A-G-I-O, Inc. We served them by certified mail and so forth a number of weeks ago. I have not had any contact or response from them to date, although, I did get the green card back.

THE COURT: Okay. So, they haven't answered?

MR. COFSKY: They have not answered nor have the contacted me, at all and they're a big outfit. I mean, they're certainly in business in the Pittsburgh area.

THE COURT: Okay, let's begin by seeing then, where we are with respect to Ms. Smith. She has submitted an answer, right?

MS. SMITH: Yes.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Hunn, where are you on the issue of default?

MR. HUNN: Well, your Honor, just briefly, this action was started in September. Because the fact pattern is such that it goes back to the 1997-1998 era, we gave defendant some time to sort out their insurance issues. By January, it became clear that there is no insurance to cover Reaching Out to International Adoption, Child Promises and Ms. Smith. So, we filed a motion for a default judgment. It was after that motion was filed that Ms. Smith then filed a

1 | 12(b)6 motion and then just last Thursday, filed an answer.

We'll be filing a motion to strike the answer as being out of

time, because Ms. Smith was and did enter into an agreement

to extend the time frames from the Complaint and under that

5 agreement, that expired at the end of November. So, we

believe we're filing their out of time and that the default

7 | judgment motion is appropriate and should still be entered.

THE COURT: Did you have an entry of default?

MR. HUNN: No, just the motion pending, at this

10 point.

2

3

4

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So, no default has been entered?

MR. HUNN: No.

MS. SMITH: Your Honor, I'd like to respond, if I

can?

15 THE COURT: Yes.

MS. SMITH: This is Jeannene Smith. I had contacted with an attorney, Sam Tutorro (ph), who was working with Mr. Hunn, all the way up until the very day before he filed his default judgment or a motion for a default judgment. The day before, Mr. Tutorro had notified Mr. Hunn that we were still waiting on an answer from the insurance company and the insurance company had stated that it would be given within ten days. The very next day, Mr. Hunn filed the motion for

default judgment. And to complicate the problem is the fact

that my basis of being in this case was supposedly during my

employment at Reaching Out or FTIA. Those companies since went out of business. So, it was very difficult to obtain the insurance records from them and to get any cooperation on my behalf as to whether there was any insurance coverage extended through the corporate veil, through the corporation.

MR. HUNN: Your Honor, this is Robert Hunn and those facts regarding a Mr. Tutorro being in touch with me up until the day of filing the default, that's disputed -- I dispute that. I did have early contact with Mr. Tutorro, but nothing more.

THE COURT: I take it then, you're not, Mr. Hunn, going to withdraw your motion for default judgment?

MR. HUNN: Correct, your Honor, we're not willing to do so.

THE COURT: All right and I just note, you've acknowledged you haven't had default entered. Is it your position that a motion for default judgment is appropriate, even if a default had not been entered pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a).

MR. HUNN: Your Honor, my -- well, the answer is yes, because my understanding was because it was unliquidated damages, we had to first file an action motion before entering the default. We had to do it a little differently. Now, if you're advising that my understanding is incorrect, then it would still be my position that the

defendants were given sufficient time to answer. They were given an extension of time to answer. Did not answer within sufficient time and we should still have a default in this case.

THE COURT: Well, you can check the court rules and the case laws as to whether your motion is appropriate. In the event that you determine it is or you believe there is a good cause to maintain it, you can do so. Ms. Smith will either oppose the motion or not oppose the motion.

It would seem to me that that issue would need to be resolved prior to depositions in the case. Where are we with respect to the schedule for the remainder of the case, as far as conducting discovery?

MR. HUNN: This is Robert Hunn, again. Mr. Cofsky and I have put together a draft schedule. It was at a time that, you know, around the time Adagio was being served. So, it was our thinking that perhaps let's see if Adagio gets in and then we'll be able to fortify and solidify a joint plan. A copy of the plan was sent to Ms. Smith. We have --

THE COURT: All right, well --

MR. HUNN: I'm sorry, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. HUNN: -- a plan to submit to the Court.

THE COURT: So, there is no plan, at this time, is

25 | that right?

MR. HUNN: Not one that's been submitted to the Court.

THE COURT: All right and Mr. Cofsky, did you say you've served the third party defendant, but no answer has been filed yet?

MR. COFSKY: I did and I did that, of course, by the mail route, as opposed to personal service. Since, they're a big outfit, I assumed that they would be responding, but we've yet to hear anything.

THE COURT: I know I also received a letter of February 10th. It was directed to Mr. Hunn, but it was signed by Ms. Smith, copy to the Court, concerning -- I'm not real sure exactly what it is specifically. It says for settlement purposes only on the top of the letter. Ms. Smith?

MS. SMITH: What is the question, Judge?

THE COURT: The letter you sent to Mr. Hunn on February 10th? You copied the Court, was there a reason you copied the Court?

MS. SMITH: I just thought I was supposed to copy the Court on everything that I send to him, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: No, that's -- no, you don't need to do that. If you're going to file something with the Court, you would file it, but if you're going to exchange letters to counsel, unless it's something you need the Court to address,

you don't need to copy the Court. All right?

MS. SMITH: Okay.

THE COURT: If it's something you want the Court -if it's a document, an application, a motion you want the
Court to consider, then you should copy the -- send it
directly to the Court and copy your adversaries, all right?

MS. SMITH: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Hunn, what's the prejudice that you allege to suffer if the default is not entered, in light of the fact that no discovery's been conducted to date?

MR. HUNN: Your Honor, we believe the prejudice is that it is clear, at this point, that Ms. Smith does not have insurance. Therefore, to further litigate the case with her is just going to be a disruptive scenario, since there's not going to be any recovery from her. We believe her filings and what she has asserted in her filings, bear that out. We also believe that sufficient time was given, so that, at this point, my client has the right to a default judgment indeed from there.

THE COURT: All right, that's your position. Ms. Smith, do you oppose a default judgment?

MS. SMITH: Yes, I do oppose it.

THE COURT: All right and are you planning to file opposition to the District Judge on this issue?

MS. SMITH: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Well, what I'm going to do is re-schedule this matter for another telephone conference in two weeks and by then, we'll see if the other third party defendant has been served and has entered an appearance. In addition, the parties should be prepared to argue or address the default judgment motion at that time. Anything else?

MR. HUNN: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. COFSKY: Your Honor, this is Donald Cofsky.

Following up on the letter of February 10, I think that was copied to you, that was sent by Ms. Smith to Mr. Hunn, I've received a letter from Ms. Smith about the end of last week, which addressed just to me and it dealt with, I think, somewhat strategic things, but it was also copied to the Court. This falls under the same category as that which you had just advised Ms. Smith. And if she agrees, I think that letter should, when received by the Court, ought to be disposed of, as the one that was also addressed to Mr. Hunn.

THE COURT: You mean the Court need not take any action on the letter?

MR. COFSKY: Well, there is no action being requested by the Court. I was somewhat surprised when I noticed that the Court was copied and it's obvious the only reason, as Ms. Smith has said, is that she thought the Court was required to be copied on everything. It was purely a

- 1 letter from one defendant to another and in fact, had some
- 2 proposed strategy in there. So, I think it would be,
- 3 | actually, in appropriate for the Court to have it and I don't
- 4 | see any reason for it even to be reviewed by the Court. And
- 5 Ms. Smith, would you agree with me on that?
- MS. SMITH: Well, again, I sent it just to make sure all parties were copied.
- 8 THE COURT: Was it also sent to Mr. Hunn?
- 9 MR. HUNN: Yes, your Honor.
- MR. COFSKY: Did you receive that, too, because I
- 11 didn't see a CC to you on there, Mr. Hunn.
- MR. HUNN: Well, you know what, you're right, it's just the February 10th, I apologize.
- MR. COFSKY: No, this was one that --
- MR. HUNN: I did not get that letter.
- MR. COFSKY: No, this was one that just came in the
- end of last week, addressed to me, with a copy to the Court,
- 18 but not to you.
- 19 THE COURT: To the District Judge or the Magistrate
- 20 Judge?
- 21 MR. COFSKY: Good question. Let me see if I can put
- 22 | my hands on it.
- MS. SMITH: There was a copy delivered to both.
- 24 THE COURT: All right, so, Mr. Cofsky, if you have a
- 25 request that the Court not consider it and not provide a copy

to Mr. Hunn, you need to put that request in a letter with whatever authority you have on that issue that it includes confidential information. Mr. Hunn, do you have an objection to not receiving a copy? MR. HUNN: I do not have an objection. MR. COFSKY: Actually, I'm looking at the letter right now and it was addressed to me and it says CC: Judge Ann Donio, Magistrates Court, USDC, New Jersey, Camden.

THE COURT: All right, so, Mr. Hunn has indicated on the record he has no objection to the Court not considering the letter. Can you just put your request in a letter to the Court?

MR. COFSKY: Sure.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

MR. COFSKY: Since this was only a copy to you, should I address it directly to you?

THE COURT: You can address it directly to me, but you should copy Mr. Hunn on the letter, because you're not going to reveal the contents of the letter -- of the prior letter --

MR. COFSKY: Okay.

THE COURT: -- but if there's any authority you wish the Court to consider, I will do so. All right?

MR. COFSKY: Sounds good.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. COFSKY: That would be it. Is there a date you would wish to set now?

THE COURT: I will have the date electronically put
-- I will enter a scheduling order and that date will be put
on the docket and then you'll all receive notice of it,
either electronically or via mail, depending on how the
clerk's office addresses each of the parties, all right.

MR. COFSKY: Very good.

THE COURT: It will be three to four weeks and at that time, as I indicated, the Court will also, if it hasn't already been resolved by the District Judge, consider the application on the default judgment. Okay?

MR. HUNN: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, one last thing, this is Robert Hunn. I am attached for trial the week of March 23rd. You know, certainly, I'll try to accommodate the Court.

THE COURT: Well, we'll work around that week.

MR. HUNN: I appreciate that, thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. COFSKY: That's it.

THE COURT: All right, we'll conduct the next conference by telephone, if that's acceptable to all parties?

MR. COFSKY: Sure.

MR. HUNN: Yes.

MR. COFSKY: Yes.

1	THE COURT: All right, you all have a good day.
2	MR. HUNN: Thank you, your Honor.
3	MR. COFSKY: Thank you.
4	MS. SMITH: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	(Proceeding adjourned 10:49 o'clock a.m.)

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

s:/Geraldine C. Laws, CET Laws Transcription Service

Dated 9/16/10