The Honorable Ann M. Donio Magistrate Judge UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for NEW JERSEY - Camden One John F. Gerry Plaza U. S. Courthouse Camden, NJ 08101-2797

RECEIVED

JUL 2 3 2009

ANN MARIE DONIO U.S. Magistrate Judge

Ref: Docket 08-CV-4614 Allen v. FTIA, et al

Dear Judge Donio:

I write by letter to request clarification and/or correction regarding the court's filing docket and the status of my remaining Motion to Dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction.

I am in receipt of J. Rodriguez's order dated July 9, 2009 denying my request to dismiss for lack of standing [which I now believe should have been captioned as Rule 9(b)(2)]. However, at page two of the order, J. Rodriguez references Exhibit 1 of my separate Motion to Dismiss under Rule 12(b)(2).

I reviewed the court jacket personally, where both motions are filed separately, but the Clerk would not allow me to have a copy of the docket filings. I am concerned that there must be some confusion about the two motions being independent. I attach for your reference a file-stamped copy of the second motion, along with the five (5) Exhibits. Because I served all the parties with a copy of the motion by mail, they should already have a copy.

I have two questions:

- On February 2, 2009, I filed two motions with the court. The (a) first was a Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim for Relief under Rule 12(b)(6) to which the court has ruled. The second was Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction. On February 20, 2009, Robert Hunn filed a Plaintiff's Response to the Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim for Relief. However, as far as I am aware, Mr. Hunn has never responded to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction. As a pro se defendant, I am not sure whether to interpret this failure to respond as confirmation that Mr. Hunn does not intend to object to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction.
- (b) In furtherance of my concern outlined in paragraph (a) above, if Mr. Hunn does in fact, plan to file a response in objection to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, and in consideration of Mr. Hunn's Motion to Withdraw, is it appropriate to request that this issue be postponed and new counsel entering an appearance for Faith Allen be allowed to file a response to the unanswered request to personally dismiss me as a party?

Your direction on how to handle my remaining motion, since it has not yet been 30 days for the new counsel to enter his/her appearance would be appreciated.

Enclosure

cc: all parties' counsel (without enclosure)

date: Wednesday, July 15, 2009

312 S. Lincoln Avenue Cherry Hill, NJ 08002

SEPARATION AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This Separation Agreement and Release (collectively "Agreement") is made on this day of , 2007, by and among REACHING OUT THRU INTERNATIONAL ADOPTION, INC. ("Reaching Out") a New Jersey Non-Profit Corporation, JEANNENE SMITH ("J. Smith") and DONALD SMITH ("D. Smith".)

WHEREAS, it has been determined by the parties hereto, that effective , 2007, J. Smith shall resign as President of the Corporation and will no longer be employed by Reaching Out and D. Smith shall resign as Vice President of the Corporation; and,

WHEREAS, the parties have determined that it would be in their best interest to memorialize the terms and conditions under which J. Smith shall leave her employment with Reaching Out, and D. Smith shall resign as Vice President of the Corporation.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:

SECTION 1: Severance Terms

- (A) In anticipation and reliance upon J. Smith and D. Smith fulfilling all of the obligations set forth in this agreement, Reaching Out agrees to the following:
 - (i) Make a payment to J. Smith in the sum of eight thousand three hundred three dollars and forty-six cents (\$8,300.46) upon receipt of a fully executed copy of this Agreement;
 - (ii) Pay J. Smith two thousand seventy-five dollars and eighty-six cents (\$2,075.86) in twelve monthly installments commencing on October 1, 2007 and concluding on September 1, 2008 for a total payment over that term of twenty four thousand nine hundred ten dollars and thirty-eight cents (\$24,910.38);
 - (iii) Pay seven thousand three hundred eight dollars (\$7,308.00) to D. Smith as past due rent which payment shall bring Reaching Out current through August 31, 2007;
 - (iv) Pay health insurance for J. Smith's family (J. Smith, D. Smith, her husband, and their children)including dental of same or better value as currently paid for by the company for a period of one year subsequent to the separation without contribution by J. Smith or her family;
 - (v) Repay the currently outstanding demand note for the loan provided to Reaching Out by D. Smith. The total amount due and owing on the loan is thirty-five thousand five hundred fifty-one dollars (\$35,551.00). Upon payment of said sum, the loan will be considered satisfied in full. D. Smith will execute any and all necessary documents to reflect satisfaction of the loan;

- (vi) Reaching Out will pay eight thousand dollars (\$8,000.00) towards attorney's fees incurred by J. Smith. Said payment to be made directly to the attorney and upon receipt of an invoice; and
- Reaching Out shall permit J. Smith to remove her desk from the facility and (vii) keep it as her own.
- So long as Reaching Out remains in business it will maintain a Business and Management Indemnity Policy equal to its present policy, which is identified as Westchester Fire Insurance Company, Policy No. BM120036792, effective date of December 12, 2003 (a copy of the policy declaration pages are attached hereto) and a Professional Liability policy equal to its present policy, which is identified as United National Insurance Company, Policy No. NGA0000371, effective date of November 10, 2006 (copy of the policy declaration page is attached hereto.) The parties acknowledge that at this time, based on their present knowledge, they are unable to conclusively establish wrong doing by any party.
- (B) J. Smith and D. Smith in consideration for the promises and covenants made in this agreement, agree to the following provisions:
 - (i) J. Smith shall immediately resign as President of Reaching Out;
 - J. Smith agrees to vacate the premises; (ii)
 - (iii) J. Smith agrees not to solicit, directly or indirectly, any of the current clients of Reaching Out;
 - (iv) J. Smith agrees to return all corporate property including but not limited to the original corporate minute binder, keys to the files, computer access codes, unlock all passwords to access protected documents, credit cards, cellular phones, Hague materials, documentation for counsel on accreditation documentation in both printed and CD fashion as well as all original program applications (CDs) and other RO files;
 - (v) J. Smith agrees that she will not seek future employment with Reaching Out or any related or affiliated company;
 - (vi) D. Smith agrees to immediately resign as Vice President of the Corporation. D. Smith shall be permitted to remain as a caseworker but obligated to handle additional responsibilities in light of his significant compensation.

SECTION 2: Mutual Release.

The parties to this Agreement, intending to be legally bound, as an acknowledgment of the mutual consideration exchanged herein, release and forever discharge each other from any and all claims and/or causes of action, whether past, present or future, presently known or unknown, arising out of the employment relationship and/or business relationship between J. Smith and D. Smith and Reaching Out, as an entity, the Board members as individuals or in their capacity as a Board member, both past, current, and future Board members, the Executive Director, as an individual or in the

SECTION 9: Severability.

In the event that any one or more of the provisions contained herein shall, for any reason, be held invalid or unenforceable, then such invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect any other provisions of the Agreement.

SECTION 10: Execution in Counterparts.

This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, but all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

SECTION 11: Entire Agreement.

This Agreement constitutes the entire Agreement of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and modified and/or replaces the terms of all previous agreement with respect to the subject matter hereof. In the event of any conflict between this Agreement and any other Agreement between the parties with regard to the subject matter hereof, the provisions of this Agreement shall control.


SECTION 12: Arbitration.

Any controversy or claim arising out of or in connection with this Agreement (other than an action for injunctive relief) shall be resolved by arbitration in accordance with the rules of the American Arbitration Association then in effect in the State of New Jersey and judgment upon any such award by the arbitrators shall be final and binding upon the parties and may be entered and enforced in any court having jurisdiction thereof. The arbitration shall be held in the State of New Jersey and the arbitration award shall include attorney's fees and costs to the prevailing party.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Separation Agreement and Release as of the day and year first above written.

By:	Date:
IAN GRODMAN, for the Board of	
Directors of Reaching Out Thru	
International Adoption, Inc.	
	Date:
JEANNENE SMITH	
	Date:
DONALD SMITH	

CLAC; 140471.1





State of New Jersey DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES DIVISION OF YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES

CHRISTINE TODD WHITMAN GOVERNOR

Bureau of Licensing PO Box 717 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0717 MICHELE K. GL Commissioner CHARLES VEN Acting Director

December 2, 1998

Mr. Keith M. Wallace, Director Families Thru International Adoptions 971 A South Kenmore Drive Evansville, Indiana 47714

Dear Mr. Wallace:

Under the New Jersey State Adoption Law (N.J.S.A. 9:3-37 et seq.) and the Manual of Requirements for Adoption Agencies (N.J.A.C. 10:121A), the official State regulations, the New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services' Bureau of Licensing is responsible for certifying all public and private adoption agencies, both within and outside the State that provide adoption services in New Jersey. In keeping with the Division's responsibility under the State Adoption Law, the Bureau of Licensing is responsible for investigating complaints involving all public and private adoption agencies subject to our authority.

While Families Thru International Adoption is not licensed by the New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services' Bureau of Licensing, your agency maintained an office in Cherry Hill, New Jersey. As such, a representative from the Bureau of Licensing conducted a complaint investigation at your adoption agency's Cherry Hill site on March 3, 1998 in response to allegations that (if your agency were licensed in New Jersey) would result in violations of certain requirements of the Manual of Requirements for Adoption Agencies. I have attached for your information the Complaint Investigation Summary/Report which identifies the findings of the investigation.

As a result of the complaint investigation, the Bureau determined that your agency was providing adoption services in New Jersey since October 1996 without securing a license/certificate as required under the New Jersey State Adoption Law (N.J.S.A. 9:3-37 et seq.) and the Manual of Requirements for Adoption Agencies (N.J.A.C 10:121A).

As you may be aware, Ms. Jeannene Smith who operated your agency's New Jersey office has since applied and was granted a Certificate of Approval for an adoption agency called Reaching Out Thru International Adoption. Hannah Druger serves as the director of the agency.

If you have any questions, please contact me or Anna Montes, Adoption Agency Coordinator, either in writing or by telephoning at (609) 292-8255.

Sincerely,

Gary Sefchik, Assistant Chief Residential and Agency Programs

Bureau of Licensing

c: Richard Crane, Chief
Bureau of Licensing
Anna Montes
Patricia Vesper, Welfare Consultant
State of Indiana - Department of Public Welfare
Hannah Druger
Jeannene Smith

ZIP CODE

\$1.7 H

TEMPORARY

DATE INVESTIGATED
3/3/98

UNSUBSTANTIATED COMPLAINT

COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION SUMMARY/REPORT

COMPLAINT NUMBER __ 0225

ESIDE PL

9

Page 1 of

NAME OF ADOPTION AGENCY Families Thru international STREET 971 A South Kenmore Drive CITY EXAMPLE STATE STATE Indiana	OFTION AGENCY TU International Adopt Kenmore Prive 51.712 Indiana	20 CODE 47714
Keith M. Walls THISTHONE (812) 479-9900	851	

FINDINGS	
-	COMPLAINT SUBSTANTIATED
1) The Adoption Agency Coordinator from the Bureau of Licensing interviewed the Director of Families Thru International Adoptions (Indiana) by telephone on several occasions. Mr. Wailace indicated that his agency had an office in Cherry Hill, New Jersey for the purpose of processing paper work. At this time, Mr. Wallace requested and was sent an application package for licensure in New Jersey and indicated that he wanted to comply with New Jersey regulations.	×
However, on 1/20/98, Mr. Wallace informed the	

adoption agency located in Indiana, but is not licensed by the New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services Bureau of Licensting was operating an office located in Cherry Hill, New Jersey and providing adoption-related services.

1) Families Thru International Adoptions, an

ALLEGATION

Bureau that he had decided not to apply for a license in New Jersey. On 2/23/98, the Bureau received a letter from Mr. Wallace stating that he had terminated his relationship with Ms. Jeannene Smith who was operating the Cherry Hill office on behalf of Families Thru International Adoptions.

On 3/3/98, the Adoption Agency Coordinator conducted an on-elie inspection at the Cherry Hill office (the home of Ms. Smith) and interviewed Ms Smith and interviewed Ms Smith and Hamah Druger (the current Director of Reaching Out Thru International Adoption, the agency formed by Ms. Smith after learning that Families Thru International Adoptions was not licensed in New Jersey).

As a result of this visit violation(s) applicable to the all-gations were cited additional violation(s) were cited and recommendation(s) were made.

Anna Montes, Adoption Agency Coordinator Inspectoral Names and Title(s)

COMPLAINT NUMBER 02/96

state or new jersey, department of human services, division of youth and family services, buseau of licensing

COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION SUMMARY/REPORT

П					
ESULTS	COMPLAINT UNSUBSTANTIATED				
2	COMPLAINT BUBSTANTIATED	*			
FINDINGS	horacourd .	1) (Continued)) During the on-site inspection, a number of case files were reviewed. The inspection revealed an office located in Ms. Smith's home that contained a conference room, a large office equipped with three deats, computers, file cabinets and telephone. The agency offers international adoption programs in Chins, Gusternale and Russia. Ms. Smith travels to these countries and provides supervision of the agency s oversees consultants. Ms. Smith visits orphanages and makes video tapes of children who are available for edoption. These video tapes are then shown to prospective adoptive applicants. Ms. Smith stated that an adoptive applicant completes the agency's adoption application and returns the application to the New Jersey office has reviewed the application, Ms. Bmith then forwards the application to the Indiana office for proceeding.	The Indiana office (Mr. Wallace) provides payments for the services provided to applicants by Ms. Smith. The Indiana adoption agency (Families Thru International Adoptions) lists Ms. Smith as the Director of thair New Jersey office.	Ms. South indicated that it was her understanding that Mr. Wallace had applied or was in the process of applying to be licensed in New Jersey and was not aware that the Cherry Hill office was operating without a license.	This allegation is substantiated. Families Thru International Adoptions through their office in New Jersey was providing adoption services in New Jersey since October 1996 without securing a license/owtificate as required under the New Jersey State Adoption Law (N.).S.A. 9:3-37 et seq.) and the Manual of Requirements for Adoption Agencies (N.J.A.C. 10:121A).
, ALLEGATION					
		RESU COMPLAINT SUBSTANTIATED	1) (Continued)) During the on-site inspection, a number of case files were reviewed. The inspection revealed an office located in Ms. Smith's home that contained a conference room, a large office equipped with time deats, computers, its cabines and the shows. The agency offers international adoption programs in China, Gusternale and Russia. Ms. Smith trivels to these countries and provides supplication programs in China, Gusternale and Russia. Ms. Smith trivels to these countries and provides supplication. These of children who are available for adoption. These of children who are available for adoption application and returns the agency's adoption application and returns the agency's adoption application and returns the application to the New Jersey office has reviewed the application, Ms. Smith then forwards the application to the Indiana office for processing.	1) (Continued)) During the on-site inspection, a number of case files were reviewed. The inspection revealed an office located in Ms. Smith's home that contained a conference room, a large office equipped with three deads, computers, file cabinets and sustain. Ms. Smith reviels to these countries and provides and sustain. Ms. Smith reviels to these countries and provides any sustain that will be organized and makes video tapes of children who are available for adoption. These video tapes of children who are available for adoption. These video tapes are then shown to prospective adoptive applicant completes the againcy a adoption to the New Jersey office. And the services provides payments for the services provided to be application. Ms. Smith then forwards the application to the Indiana office for proceeding. The Indiana office (Mr. Wallace) provides payments for the services provided to applicants by Ms. Smith. The Indiana doption against Viewilles Thru International Adoption lists Ms. Smith as the Director of their New Jersey office.	1) (Continued.) During the on-site inspection. a number of case files were revised. The inspection revealed an office Stocated in Ms. Smith a home that contained a conference room, a large office equipped with three dealts, computers, file solphets and delephone and delephone. The appropriate and provides and applicant and provides and application and arrive and application and arrive and applications and arrive and applications and arrive and applications and arrive and applications and arrive and applies or was in the process of applying to be licensed in New Jersey and was not aware that the Charry Hill office was operating without a license.

As a meals of this visit violation(a) applicable to the allegations were clied, additional violations were clied and recommendations were made.

Anna Montes, Adoption Agency Coordinator Impedoris) Namesi) and Thiesi COMPLAINT NUMBER 0298

RESULTS

COMPLAINT SUBSTANTATED

state or new Jersey, department of Human Services, division of Youth and Family Services, Bureau of Licensing

COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION SUMMARY/REPORT

Families Thru International Adoptions NAME OF AGENCY

2) The Director of the New Jersey/Cherry Hill office for sapplicant that there were more than 20 children available for adoption from Guahamala, however, a few weeks later the applicant was told that there were no children available for adoption and shower from Guahamala, however, a few weeks later the applicant was told that there were no children available for adoption applicant. The Director also stated that the applicant was told from Guahamala.

2) The Director of the Charry Hill office indicated that she provided information and showed a video to the applicant of children from Gustemals who were available for adoption. The Director indicated that the specific child the applicant was interested in adopting had already been assigned to another applicant. The Director also stated that the applicant only wanted to adopt a female child, but there were only male children available at that time the applicant received approval from Immigration and Nationalization Service (INS) in 12/97. The Director denied saying that there were no children available, instead she indicated to the applicant that there were no female children coming through for adoption from Gustemala.


X

₹ Z

The agency falled to document the above-noted information in case notes and as such, this allegation cannot be verified or denied.

As a result of this visit violations) applicable to the allegations were clied, additional violations) were clied and recommendations were made.

Anna Montes, Adoption Agency Coordinator Inspedental Nametal and Titletal



PAGE 84/2



Reaching Out thru International Adoption, Inc.



Acknowledgement and Agreement

I/We have been informed of the formal separation between the Families thru International Adoption - New Jersey office and the FTIA Home Office in Indiana. I/We understand the New Jersey office has become an independent child placement agency now known as Reaching Out thru International Adoption, Inc. I/We have been presented with options of continuing my/our adoption and have indicated my/our preferences below.


I am aware that my adoption application and file are presently retained in the former NJ office of FTIA which is now an independent child placement agency known as Reaching Out thru International Adoption, Inc. It is my preference to continue working with the New Jersey office and I hereby grant permission for all documentation to be transferred to Reaching Out.

I am aware that my adoption application and file are presently retained in the former NJ office of FTIA which is now an independent child placement agency known as Reaching Out thru International Adoption, Inc. It is my preference not to transfer my application and documentation to Reaching Out and to instead, have all documentation forwarded to the Families thru International Adoption, Inc. located at 971 A. S. Kenmore Avenue, Evansville, IN 47715. I understand that a representative from FTIA- Indiana will handle all future contact.

I/We wish to withdraw our application at this time.

That			
Signature - Adoptive Applicant		Signature - Adoptive Applicant	· ·
Name: MATTHEW A.	MAN	CV35	
Address: 156 SHEARER	Runa	. New her fit	10.65
Program (Crina, Russia, Guatemala)	5		

Form SOUTHER





HILDREN OF CHINA

a program of Families Thru International Adoption, Inc. (a state licensed not for profit child placement agency)

October 3, 1996

Ms. Jeannene Smith 312 So. Lincoln Avenue Cherry Hills, NJ 08002

Dear Jeannene:

Please find another "draft" Agreement. I cannot remember if this is the latest version with the addition of the financial management paragraph. As always, please feel free to make any suggested changes, fax it back to me or call me with your suggestions.

Very truly yours,

CHILDREN OF CHINA

By:

Keith M. Wallaco **Executive Director**

Enclosure

KMWnf c/wp/adoption/emith! Itr

AGREEMENT

This Agreement entered into this _____ day of October, 1996, by and between Families Thru International Adoption, Inc. (hereinafter "FTIA") and Jeannene and Donald Smith (hereinafter "Smith"). WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, FTIA is a Indiana state licensed not-for-profit child placement agency which has developed a program entitled "Children of China" to assist U.S. citizens in adopting children from the People's Republic of China; and

WHEREAS, Smith is currently and has for more than a year, operated as a branch office of another child placement agency assisting U.S. citizens in adopting children from the People's Republic of China; and

WHEREAS, Smith now prefers to operate as a branch of FITA; and

WHEREAS, FTIA and Smith have come to terms for Smith to operate as a branch of FTIA, the parties now reduce their Agreement to writing.

NOW THEREFORE, FOR AND CONSIDERATION of the mutual promises and covenants contained herein, the adequacy and sufficiency of which is acknowledged by the parties, the parties agree to the following:

- That Smith will be allowed to facilitate families through FITA out of the state of New Jersey 1. according to the laws of New Jersey.
 - That FTIA will retain exclusive jurisdiction for the states of Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky and 2. Illinois and if and when a prospective family calls Smith that resides in one of these states, that Smith will refer them to the home office in Evansville.
 - That Smith is allowed to serve families and accept applications from the remaining forty-six 3. (46) states.
 - That FTIA will refer all inquiries East of Ohio to Smith. 4.
 - That FTIA may accept applications from all fifty (50) states. 5.

- 6. That Smith will submit a copy of any written materials that are sent to prospective clients regarding Chinese adoptions to FTIA.
- 7. That the total agency fee charged to each couple/single by Smith for an adoption from China through FTIA shall be the same as the fee FTIA charges to prospective families.
- 8. For each family referred to FTIA by Smith, Smith will receive a total of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) payable as follows: Four Hundred Dollars (\$400.00) upon receipt of the application by FTIA and the couple's/single's Four Hundred Dollar (\$400.00) application fee; and One Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$1,600.00) upon receipt of a completed original dossier with three (3) copies, the One Thousand Eight Hundred Dollar (\$1,800.00) dossier fee and Three Hundred Fifty Dollar (\$350.00) Ministry of Civil Affairs fee. All remaining fees are retained by FTIA.
- 9. If a family withdraws from the adoption process or if China closes for adoptions after the family has submitted their Four Hundred Dollar (\$400.00) application fee, the application fee is non-refundable. If a family withdraws from the adoption process or if China closes its adoption program process after the families submit their dossier fee, it will be FTIA's policy to attempt to refund Fifty Percent (50%) of the dossier fee. If a family withdraws from the adoption process or if China closes its adoption program after the family submits their referral fee, it will be FTIA's policy to attempt to refund Fifty Percent (50%) of the referral fee. To accomplish this goal, Smith agrees to create a separate trust account where Smith will deposit at least Fifty Percent (50%) of all fees received from FTIA with the exception of the Four Hundred Dollar (\$400.00) application fee, until the adopting couple/single has returned from China after having completed their adoption. Smith is to provide monthly written evidence of their compliance with this policy.
- Smith is required to maintain her own general liability insurance policy in the amount of One
 Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00) and name FITA as an additional insured.


פלפריילנגאלנג פטיבע אטטעראנעט פטינעט פטינעט פטינעט פטינעט פטינעט פטינעט

REACHING OUT

PAGE 19/21

11.	Smith is required to provide	the attached application which states the refund policy to all
	families.	
12.	This Agreement may be ter	minated in writing by either party submitting such a letter of
	termination to the other.	
		FAMILIES THRU INTERNATIONAL ADOPTION, INC.
	75	
	Ву:	Keith M. Wallace, Executive Director
		JEANNENE SMITH
		DONALD SMITH

KKWaf chapladoption/suith agr



Jeannene Smith 312 S. Lincoln Avenue Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 (856) 321-0808

For Defendant, Jeannene Smith

MASHA ALLEN, by her Parent and

Guardian, FAITH ALLEN

Plaintiff(s)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

٧.

DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Civil No. 08-4614 (JHR)

Judge Donio

FAMILIES THRU INTERNATIONAL ADOPTION, INC

And

CHILD PROMISE, INC. (formerly known as Reaching Out thru International Adoption, Inc.) and REACHING OUT THRU INTERNATIONAL ADOPTION, INC.

And
JEANNENE SMITH

Defendants

JEANNENE SMITH'S

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT of

HER MOTION to DISMISS

FOR LACK OF PERSONAL

JURISDICTION

AFFIDAVIT OF JEANNENE SMITH

- 1. My name is Jeannene Smith and I am a named Defendant in the instant matter.
- 2. I am over the age of 18 years, and of sound mind, being mentally competent to testify.
- 3. I have personal knowledge of the factual recitals, which I make herein.
- 4. During the time-period in which I worked with Keith Wallace roughly 1996 to 1998 I never held myself out to anyone as anything other than an "employee" of Families Thru International Adoption. ("FTIA"), or Children's Hope International.
- 5. Because FTIA was an Indiana licensed, foreign accredited adoption agency, my job as the New Jersey representative of the organization was to coordinate, administratively, the United States' aspects of international adoption paperwork: dossiers; police clearances; certification of marriage licenses, birth certificates and the like.
- 6. I do not have a Masters in Social Work; I am not licensed to perform social work duties; and I was not authorized by any licensed adoption agency to do that neither Children's Hope International,

Allen vs. FTIA, et al USDC-NJ 08-4614 Affidavit of Jeannene Smith

Families Through International Adoption, nor Reaching Out thru International Adoption (later renamed Child's Promise, Inc.) – it was not my position to make determinative judgment evaluations as to the suitability of persons to qualify as adoptive parents under the various State Licensing requirements.

- 7. At all times during the time-period in question, I was employed, using either a W-2 or 1099 (IRS) income reporting statement, by a properly incorporated and/or licensed adoption corporation. All correspondence, addresses, business cards, phone numbers, etc., reflected this fact; I worked within a discrete framework of tasks and assignments, directed by either statutory requirements or the internal policies and procedures of the employing corporation.
- 8. My duties were administerial in nature only; all my efforts and work was within the scope of my employment whenever a question arose as to the efficacy of a policy, procedure or method, I brought it to the attention of either the Executive Director, who was licensed/authorized by the appropriate licensing authority, or to the Board of Trustees of the organization, for resolution.
- 9. Mr. Hunn is completely mistaken, and simply "guessing," that I had any personal involvement in the decision-making process of placing of Masha, née Masha Yaskenkova, as an adoptive child from an orphanage in Russia to Matthew A. Mancuso.
- 10. Otherwise, Affiant saith not.

VERIFICATION OF AFFLANT

I solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury and upon personal knowledge that the contents of the foregoing paper are true and correct.

Respectfully submitted,

BY JEANNENE SMITH 312 S. Lincoln Avenue Cherry Hill, NJ 08002

(856) 321-0808

DATED: 2/2/09

JEANNENE SMITH

Jeannene Smith 312 S. Lincoln Avenue Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 (856) 321-0808

THE THEOLEGAY

101/20-27 1:48

For Defendant, Jeannene Smith

MASHA ALLEN, by her Parent and Guardian, FAITH ALLEN

Plaintiff(s)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

V.

: DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
: Civil No. 08-4614 (JHR)

Judge Donio

FAMILIES THRU INTERNATIONAL ADOPTION, INC

And

CHILD PROMISE, INC. (formerly known as Reaching Out thru International Adoption, Inc.) and REACHING OUT THRU INTERNATIONAL ADOPTION, INC.

And JEANNENE SMITH **JEANNENE SMITH'S**

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT of HER MOTION to DISMISS

FOR LACK OF PERSONAL

JURISDICTION

AFFIDAVIT OF JEANNENE SMITH

- 1. My name is Jeannene Smith and I am a named Defendant in the instant matter.
- 2. I am over the age of 18 years, and of sound mind, being mentally competent to testify.
- 3. I have personal knowledge of the factual recitals, which I make herein.

Defendants

- 4. During the time-period in which I worked with Keith Wallace roughly 1996 to 1998 I never held myself out to anyone as anything other than an "employee" of Families Thru International Adoption. ("FTIA"), or Children's Hope International.
- 5. Because FTIA was an Indiana licensed, foreign accredited adoption agency, my job as the New Jersey representative of the organization was to coordinate, administratively, the United States' aspects of international adoption paperwork: dossiers; police clearances; certification of marriage licenses, birth certificates and the like.
- 6. I do not have a Masters in Social Work; I am not licensed to perform social work duties; and I was not authorized by any licensed adoption agency to do that neither Children's Hope International,

Allen vs. FTIA, et al USDC-NJ 08-4614 Affidavit of Jeannene Smith

Families Through International Adoption, nor Reaching Out thru International Adoption (later renamed Child's Promise, Inc.) – it was not my position to make determinative judgment evaluations as to the suitability of persons to qualify as adoptive parents under the various State Licensing requirements.

- 7. At all times during the time-period in question, I was employed, using either a W-2 or 1099 (IRS) income reporting statement, by a properly incorporated and/or licensed adoption corporation. All correspondence, addresses, business cards, phone numbers, etc., reflected this fact; I worked within a discrete framework of tasks and assignments, directed by either statutory requirements or the internal policies and procedures of the employing corporation.
- 8. My duties were administerial in nature only; all my efforts and work was within the scope of my employment whenever a question arose as to the efficacy of a policy, procedure or method, I brought it to the attention of either the Executive Director, who was licensed/authorized by the appropriate licensing authority, or to the Board of Trustees of the organization, for resolution.
- 9. Mr. Hunn is completely mistaken, and simply "guessing," that I had any personal involvement in the decision-making process of placing of Masha, née Masha Yaskenkova, as an adoptive child from an orphanage in Russia to Matthew A. Mancuso.
- 10. Otherwise, Affiant saith not.

VERIFICATION OF AFFIANT

I solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury and upon personal knowledge that the contents of the foregoing paper are true and correct.

Respectfully submitted,

BY JEANNENE SMITH 312 S. Lincoln Avenue Cherry Hill, NJ 08002

(856) 321-0808

DATED: 3/3/09

JEANNENE SMITH

